1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA 10 11 DOKDINH SAYASACK, 12 Petitioner, 13 v. Case No. C08-5102FDB/JKA 14 F. E. FIGUEROA. REPORT AND 15 Respondent. RECOMMENDATION 16 **NOTED FOR: APRIL 4, 2008** 17 18 This habeas corpus action has been referred to the undersigned Magistrate Judge pursuant to 19 Title 28 U.S.C. §§ 636(b)(1)(A) and 636 (b)(1)(B) and Local Magistrates' Rules MJR 3 and MJR 4. 20 Petitioner is challenging a 1995 conviction and sentence for first degree murder. He was sentenced 21 to life without parole. He has previously filed a direct appeal and a Federal Habeas Corpus. See 22 proposed petition (Dkt # 4). The prior Federal Habeas Petition, filed in this court, dismissed his 23 claims with prejudice. Sayasack v Morgan, 00-CV-5117RJB. 24

claims with prejudice. <u>Sayasack v Morgan</u>, 00-CV-5117RJB.

The court has reviewed the petition and the Washington State Supreme Court order denying Mr. Sayasacks most recent attempts to collaterally challenge his conviction and sentence (Dkt # 4, attached order dismissing personal restraint petition dated January 11, 2007). This court concurs that this matter is time barred. The court also recognizes this petition as second or successive. The

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

25

26

27

28

1 court concludes this is a second or successive petition and should be transferred to the Ninth Circuit. 2 **DISCUSSION** 3 Ninth Circuit Rule 22-3 (a) states: (a) **Application**. Any petitioner seeking leave to file a second or successive 2254 4 petition or 2255 motion in district court must seek leave under 28 U.S.C. §§ 5 2244 or 2255. An original and five copies of the application must be filed with the Clerk of the Court of Appeals. No filing fee is required. If a second or successive petition or motion, or application for leave to file such a petition 6 or motion, is mistakenly submitted to the district court, the district court 7 shall refer it to the court of appeals. 8 (Emphasis added). 9 By his own admission the petitioner is filing a second petition. This case must be transferred 10 to the Ninth Circuit. 11 CONCLUSION 12 Based on the foregoing discussion, the Court should transfer this matter as a second or 13 successive petition and administratively close the file. 14 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Rule 72(b) of the Federal rules of Civil Procedure, the 15 parties shall have ten (10) days from service of this Report to file written objections. See also Fed. 16 R. Civ. P. 6. Failure to file objections will result in a waiver of those objections for purposes of 17 appeal. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140 (1985). Accommodating the time limit imposed by Rule 18 72(b), the clerk is directed to set the matter for consideration on **April 4, 2008**, as noted in the 19 caption. 20 DATED this 11 day of March, 2008. 21 22 /S/ J. Kelley Arnold 23 J. Kelley Arnold United States Magistrate Judge 24 25 26 27 28

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Page - 2